XVII.

1952-53

File No. NS 93

B.T.S. P.W. DEPARTMENT

E BRANCH

Subject:—

Representations.

V

TB[K] 1953

File No. NS 93. F Br.

Ed. Scott. Slip. 94 A

G.R., G.D., No. 2408, dated 22-6-22.]

Correspondence

DOCKET SHEET

XVII

Subject :—

File No. NS 93

P.W.

DEPT.

12 BRANCH.

References :—

Copies from main BC(W)

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.

NS. 92/82783

P. W. D.
E.

These are the representations from the Draftsman and the Assistant Draftsman in the C. D. for revision of their pay scales The pay-scales at present sanctioned for them are as under:—

DESIGNATION.	SCALE OF PAY.
Draftsman.	110-10-180 for qualified. 100-4-120-5-170 For nonqualified.
Assistant Draftsman.	70-5-130 for qualified. 70-3-85 E. B. -4-125 for nonqualified.

The request of the applicants is that uniform pay-scales may be sanctioned for both qualified and nonqualified Draftsmen and Assistant. Draftsman. In other words their request is that distinction of two separate pay-scales for qualified and nonqualified Draftsmen and Assistant Draftsman may be abolished and one uniform pay-scale for both qualified and non-qualified Draftsman and ~~as~~ for ~~best~~ both qualified and nonqualified. Assistant Draftsmen may be sanctioned. This question of sanctioning uniform pay-scales for Draftsmen and Assistant Draftsmen was recently considered in details in 1951-52, but further consideration of the proposal was deferred in view of the financial stringency as suggested by the F. D. Vide office notes dated the 18-8-51. The 24-11-51, the 27-5-52. the 12-7-52 and G. L. No. 93/59605 F, dated the 24-7-52. The applicants request is to reconsider this decision and sanction uniform payscales .

2 The reasons of financial stringency for which consideration of the proposal was deferred last year still holds good. It is, therefore, for orders whether the proposal may still be deferred till the financial position improves and the applicants be informed that the orders in G. L. No. N. S. 93/59605 F. dated the 24-7-52 hold good or whether the proposal may be taken up and proceddde with. In the latter case the papers may be refd. U.O. to F.- D. with refce. to that Deptt's note dated 18-6-52 .

Id/
6-8-53.

F. D. /in that note ~~file No.~~ page 280/C. S. (N.S. 93) wants a common scale for (i) qualfied) and non qualified Draftsmen and another. (ii) ~~considering~~ similarly for Asstt. D'ms -- which will made

not entail extra cost to Government. Can we propose such scales. ?

Id/-
7-8-53

D. S.
Secretary.
EVRV. /-
4-8-56

NS. 93/10815 / 1

Public Works Department "E" Br.

Submitteed with reference to the office note and the Dy. Secy's querry on pp. 15-16 ante..

2 Further representations have been received from D'men and Asstt. D'men. They will be found on pages 17-85. The request of all these applicants is the same as mentioned in the office note on pp. 15-16 ante Viz. , two separate pay-scales for qualified and non-qualified Draftsmen and Assistant Draftsmen may be abolished and one uniform pay-scale for both qualified and non-qualffied Draftsman and one for both qualified and nonqualfied Asstt, Draftsman may be sanctioned.

A

3 In reply to the Dy. Secy's querry it may be stated that if the uniform pay-scale of Rs. 100-5-120- E. B-10-180 for Draftsmen, with higher starting pay of Rs. 110 to qualified Draftsmen, and Rs. 70-3-100- E. B. -5-130 for Asstt. Draftsman with higher starting pay of Rs. 82 /- to qualified Assistt. Draftsmen are proposed . they will not involve any extra cost to Govt. The annual average cost of these scales is compared in the subjoined table with the average cost of the existing scal and those proposed on the last occassion.

	Scale of pay.		Annual Average cost.
	Draftsman.	Asstt. Draftsman.	
1 Existing . for qualified. Non-qualified..	110-10-180 100-4-120-5-170	70-5-130 70-3-85-E.B. 4-125	1,82,100
11 Proposed on the last occassion.	100-5-110 E.B.-10 X 180 with higher starting pay of Rs. 110- to qualified.	70-5-100 E.B. -5-130 qualified to start on R. 80/-	1,85,100
111 Now proposed above.	——do——	70-3-100-E.B.-5-130 qualified to start on Rs. 82 /-	1,82,700

It is for consideration whether the question may be taken up again and the uniform pay-scales as at 'A' overleaf may be proposed. If so, the papers ma may be refd. u.o. to the F. D.

Id/0
17453.

Pl. speak with G. R. P. W. D. 6915/36 dated the 6-3-46.
As C. A. alone This should now be acceptable to the F. D. in view of t

in view of their noting on page 280 of C./S. (N.S, 93)

Id/0
29-5-53

Id
25-9-53

U.O.R. to the F. D. with N.S. 93 XVI, 6919/36-1) dated 30-9-53,

Finance Department. Br VIII

Please see D . Secy's note dated 18-6-52, at p, 279/N of P. W. D. file No. N. S. 93 - XVI. The ground on which uniform payscales have been proposed for qualified and non qualified Draftsman and qualified and nonqualified Assist. Draftsmen have not been accepted in F.. D. for the reasons already explained in the above mentioned Dy. Secy(s note. This deptt. had shown an inclination to consider the proposal if the extra cost involved is eliminated. The P. W. D. has now claimed that their proposal involves a small saving of Rs. 500/- p. a. and therefore the scales should be revised as shown at Serial No. 111 in the Statement at P. 87 ante.

The No. of qualified and non-qualified draftsmen and the No . of qualified and nonqualified assistant draftsmen as in May 1952 is stated on p. 275 of the Public WorkdS Department file Nor. N.S. 93 XVI put up below. The cost involved in the revised proposals of the P. W. D. is recalculated as shown in the statement below.

No. of qualified draftsmen.	No. of non-qualified draftsmen.	No. of Asstt Drafts. men.	No. of non-qualified draftsmen.
25.	25	10	62.

Existing.		Draftsmen.	Assist. Draftsman.	Total Cost p.M.
Existing	Qualified	110-10-180.	70-5-130 70-3-85 E.B. 4-125	Rs. 179210.
	non qualified.	100-5-110- E.B. 170		
1 Proposed.	Qualified.	110-10-180	82-3-100 E.B. -5-130	
	Non qualified.	100-5-110-E.B. 10-180/100	70-3-85-EB. 4-125 - 5- 130	Rs. 178316

The above calculations are only theoretical and do not take into account additional cost in account of increased. a. payable at higher rates etc.

The existing payscale of Asstt. draftsman is Rs. 70-3-85 E.B. 4-125. It is proposed to be revised to Rs. 70-3-100 E. B. 5-130 Since 62 out of the 72 posts are manned by non-qualified persons upward revision of payscales is not justified in their case. F. D. may therefore advise that status-

status quo may continue i so far as Asstt. Draftsmen are ~~concer~~ concerned.

As regards draftsmen, the payscale of qualified draftsmen are not affected since they will start on Rs. 110 in the revised scale of pay of Rs. 100-5-110E.B. 10-180. Payscale of Non qualffied draftsman are proposed to be raised from Rs. 100 -4-120-5-170 to Rs. 100-5-110-E.B. 10-180. The annual average extra cost in this account is Rs. 4,150/ p. a. only exclusive of d. a. etc. As the basis on which the revision is urged are not acceptable and as the extra cost is not eliminated, the proposal in regard to non-qualified draftsmen is for orders.

Id/-
20-10-53

Id/-
21-10-53.

(If the porposed ~~is~~ is accepted and as the cost p. a. inclusive of D. A. will exceed Rs. 5,000 , it will have to be put up as a new item for next year's budget).

Public Works Department has retained one scale ~~t~~, and raised each of 3 other scales . Obviously this must involve extra cost.

Id/-
22/10/

U.O.R. to P. W. D. dated the 22-10-53 with N. S. 93/36. F. ~~91916~~/ E.
691916/E(1)

EVRV.

N.S. 93/124841

Public Works Department. / E.

Submitted with reference to this Department U.O.R , dated the 30-9-53.

2 As the advice given by the F. D. in that Deptt. U.O.R. dated the 22-10-53, is not quite clear that Department may be requested U.O. to elucidate.

Id/-
2-11-53. X. Br.

3 The Finance Department may be requested to state whether it agrees to this Department proposal to raise both the scales i.e. the scale of Dfaftsmen and as well as that of Asstt. Draftsmen. That Department may also be requested to treat the case as most immediate as new item statt. will have to be forwarded to that Department if the proposal is agreed to.

Id/ Id/-
3-11-53 3-11-53

No. Immediate.

U,O.R to the F. D. with NS. 93/~~36~~ XVI 691916 dated 4-11-53 .

F.D. VIII

Submitted note reference to O.M. dated the 21-10-53 on page 90 ante.

The P. W. D. proposal has not been accepted in Finance Department as extra cost is not elimunated as claimed (The P. W. D.) claimed savings of Rs. 400 P. a. vide s statement at the bottom of P. 87 ante) The P. W. D. may be informed accordingly.

Id/- Id/-
5-11-53 9/11.

U.O.R .to P. W. D. dated the 9-11-53 with file NS. 93/16 691916 (I)

P.W.D./ E.

The F. D. does not agree with this Deptt. proposal to have uniform pay scales for qualified and non qualified Draftsmen and Assistant Draftsman as proposed at "A" on page 87 ante, as the annual additional cost on this account comes to Rs. 4,150/- exclusive of D. A. etc.) It is , for orders whether Rs. The F. D's advice may be accepted. If so, the draft put up may issued if approved. ,

Id/- Id/- Id/-
10-11-53 11-11-53 23-11-53. Thro. X. Br.
EVRV.

No. NS. 93/131176 E. Letter. Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (BombayNo. 1)
27th November 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer, Bombay Electric Grid.
The Superintending Engineers of Circles,
The Electrical Engineer to Government.
The Public Health Engineer to Government,
The Architect to Government.
The Director, Development Department Chawls.
The Controller of Iron and Steel and Cement.
The Port Officer and Harbour Engineer, Okha.
The Executive Engineers of Division.

Subject:— Common pay-scale for qualified and non-qualified Draftsmen and Assistant Draftsmen.

Several rspresentations have been received from the nonqualified Draftsmen and Assistant Draftsmen to have a uniform pay scale for qualified and nonqualified Draftsman and Assistant Draftsmen. The question was reviewed by Government and it has, been decided to retain the existing prescribed pay-scales sanctioned for qualified for qualified and non-qualified Draftsman and Assistant Draftsmen. All persons concerned should please be informed accordingly.

By order and in the name of the Governor,
of Bombay,
Id/-
-9-11-53

(G. N. GODSE,)
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

D. A. Nil.

Copy forwarded to the Finance Department, for i information.

EVRV/
4-9-56.